arXiv:1509.05561v3 [hep-th] 17 Mar 2016

Long multiplets in supersymmetric mechanics

E. Ivanov[a] **and S. Sidorov**[b]

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR,
141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia

Abstract

The "long" indecomposable $\mathcal{N}$=2, d=1 multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})$ defined in `arXiv:1503.05537 [hep-th]` as a deformation of the pair of chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ by a number of the mass-dimension parameters is described in the superfield approach. We present its most general superfield and component actions, as well as a generalization to the case with the superfields of the opposite Grassmann parities and dimensionless deformation parameter. We show that the long $\mathcal{N}$=2, d=1 multiplets are naturally embedded into the chiral $SU(2|1), d = 1$ superfields having nonzero external spins with respect to $SU(2) \subset SU(2|1)$. A superfield with spin s contains $2s$ long multiplets and two short multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$. Two possible $\mathcal{N}$=4, d=1 generalizations of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ long multiplet in the superfield approach are also proposed.

PACS: 03.65-w, 11.30.Pb, 12.60.Jv
Keywords: supersymmetry, superfields, deformation

---

[a] eivanov@theor.jinr.ru
[b] sidorovstepan88@gmail.com

1 Introduction

Supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SQM) [1] is the simplest supersymmetric theory. One of the popular views of SQM is that it provides the extreme version of the dimensional reduction of the supersymmetric field theories and, as such, captures the salient features of the latter, e.g., allows one to understand in depth the conditions under which supersymmetry can be spontaneously broken. On the other hand, $d = 1$ supersymmetry and the associated models are of obvious interest on their own, as the appropriate laboratory for studying general peculiarities of supersymmetric theories and constructing superextensions of black holes, the integrable intrinsically one-dimensional systems like Calogero-Moser ones, etc. (see [2] and references therein). Supersymmetry in one dimension reveals particular features which distinguish it from its higher-dimensional counterparts. For instance, some multiplets which are on-shell in higher dimensions become off-shell after reducing them to $d = 1$ [3, 4]; there is such a specifically $d = 1$ property as the automorphic duality relating multiplets with the same number of fermionic fields but with different divisions of the bosonic fields into the subsets of the physical and auxiliary fields [5, 6], etc. Most of these peculiarities naturally extend to various versions of the $SU(2|1)$ SQM as a deformation of the standard SQM by an intrinsic mass parameter [7, 8], [9] - [11].

The aim of the present paper is to pay attention to the one more peculiar feature of $d = 1$ supersymmetry, which manifests itself in systems involving a few multiplets of similar sorts, and to give the superfield description of this new phenomenon. Namely, it turns out that in a number of cases the sets of $d = 1$ multiplets admit a deformation into new irreducible but indecomposable multiplets called “long multiplets” in [12]. Actually, a similar possibility was noticed for the first time in [13], and we will present its superfield formulation too, (in Sec. 4). However, our main focus will be on the multiplets treated in [12]. So, it is natural to start with a short account of what has been done there.

In that paper, $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric mechanics was recovered by a dimensional reduction from the four-dimensional Lagrangian of the chiral multiplet on the manifold $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ [14]. After reduction, the $SU(2|1)$ supersymmetric system is described by an infinite sum of $d = 1$ supermultiplets. These multiplets were treated in the framework of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry with the superalgebra

$$\{Q, \bar{Q}\} = 2(H - \Sigma)\,, \quad Q^2 = \bar{Q}^2 = 0\,, \tag{1.1}$$

where H is the Hamiltonian and Σ is some charge operator. It is assumed that both H and Σ (not only $H - \Sigma$) commute with the supercharges. The latter requirement is related to the fact that the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superalgebra (1.1) was defined in [12] as a subalgebra of $su(2|1)$, with H as the “genuine” Hamiltonian commuting with *all* $su(2|1)$ generators[1] and Σ as a combination of the remaining bosonic $su(2|1)$ generators. The basic reason for the choice of H as the Hamiltonian was the desire to keep $SU(2|1)$

---

[1] This H can be interpreted as a *central charge* operator added to the standard $U(2)$ bosonic generators of $SU(2|1)$ [14, 10].

supercharges time independent. It allows one to Wick rotate the time coordinate and work in the *Lorentzian/Euclidean* signature. If we forget about this $su(2|1)$ origin of (1.1), the presence of Σ is not necessary since it can always be removed by a field redefinition (see (1.4) below), and $H-\Sigma$ can be chosen as the Hamiltonian, with respect to which the spectrum is wittingly bounded from below because of the evident condition $H-\Sigma\geq 0$. However, as we are going to establish the relationships with the $SU(2|1)$ multiplets (Sec. 3), we explicitly keep Σ in (1.1).

Proceeding from the superalgebra (1.1), the reference [12] revealed a new type of $\mathcal{N}=2,\ d=1$ multiplet called a "long" multiplet. This multiplet involves some irreducible bosonic chiral $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ multiplet (z,ξ), where $z(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ are bosonic and fermionic complex $d=1$ fields, as well as a fermionic "quotient" $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ collecting the fermionic and bosonic fields $\pi(t)$ and $B(t)$. The $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry is realized on this set as

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta z=-\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon\,\xi\,,\qquad \delta\xi=\sqrt{2}\,i\,\bar{\epsilon}\,D_t z\,,\\ &\delta\pi=-\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon B-\sqrt{2}\,\rho\,\bar{\epsilon}\,z\,,\qquad \delta B=\sqrt{2}\,i\,\bar{\epsilon}\,D_t\pi+\sqrt{2}\,\rho\,\bar{\epsilon}\,\xi\,,\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

where

$$D_t=\partial_t+i\sigma\,,\qquad \bar{D}_t=\partial_t-i\sigma\tag{1.3}$$

and σ and ρ are some real parameters of the mass dimension. The factors $\sqrt{2}$ were introduced for further convenience. The parameter ρ is a deformation parameter responsible for combining the two chiral "short" $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ multiplets into a "long" multiplet. In the limit $\rho=0$, the latter is split into the direct sum of chiral multiplets which transform under $\mathcal{N}=2, d=1$ supersymmetry independently of each other. Calculating the Lie brackets of (1.2), one finds that the generator Σ is realized on each holomorphic component as $\Sigma=\sigma$, while $H=i\partial_t$. Redefining all fields as

$$z\rightarrow e^{-i\sigma t}z\,,\quad \xi\rightarrow e^{-i\sigma t}\xi\,,\quad \pi\rightarrow e^{-i\sigma t}\pi\,,\quad B\rightarrow e^{-i\sigma t}B\,,\qquad \text{c.c.},\tag{1.4}$$

we can reduce the transformations (1.2) to their $\sigma=0$ case. This also leads to some shifting of the time-translation generator H, such that on the new holomorphic fields it becomes $H=i\partial_t+\sigma$. Thus, the new time translation generator is identified with $H-\Sigma$; i.e., the redefinition (1.4) turns (1.1) into the form of the standard $\mathcal{N}=2, d=1$ Poincaré superalgebra with the Hamiltonian $H-\Sigma=i\partial_t$. So, at the level of $\mathcal{N}=2$ superalgebra, leaving aside the issue of its embedding into $su(2|1)$, the parameter σ is unessential and could be put equal to zero from the very beginning. The parameter ρ cannot be removed by any field redefinition, and it is the genuine deformation parameter. It is worth mentioning that the long $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet has the same field content as a chiral $\mathcal{N}=4, d=1$ multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})$.

The free Lagrangian, which is invariant, up to a total derivative, under (1.2), reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\rho)} \;=\;& \bar{D}_t\bar{z}\,D_t z+\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\xi}\,D_t\xi+\xi\,\bar{D}_t\bar{\xi}\right)+\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\pi}\,D_t\pi+\pi\,\bar{D}_t\bar{\pi}\right)+B\bar{B}\\ &-\rho\left(\xi\bar{\pi}+\pi\bar{\xi}\right)-\rho^2 z\bar{z}-\gamma\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(z\,\bar{D}_t\bar{z}-\bar{z}\,D_t z\right)+\xi\bar{\xi}\right].\end{aligned}\tag{1.5}$$

The Lagrangian contains three independent parameters of mass dimension: σ , ρ and γ . The external Wess-Zumino (WZ) term $\sim \gamma$ involves the irreducible set $(z\,,\xi)$ only. The whole effect of introducing $\rho \neq 0$ is the appearance of the new oscillator-type terms for the physical bosonic and fermionic fields. The remaining parameters also contribute to both these terms and the WZ term, as is seen after rewriting (1.5) in a more detailed form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^{\rm free}_{(\rho)} &= \dot{\bar z}\,\dot z + \frac{i}{2}\,(\bar\xi\,\dot\xi + \xi\,\dot{\bar\xi}\,) + \frac{i}{2}\,(\bar\pi\,\dot\pi + \pi\,\dot{\bar\pi}) - \rho\,(\xi\bar\pi + \pi\bar\xi\,) + (\sigma-\gamma)\,\xi\bar\xi + \sigma\,\pi\bar\pi \\ &\quad + (\sigma^2-\rho^2-\gamma\sigma)z\bar z + \frac{i}{2}\,(\gamma-2\sigma)\,(\bar z\,\dot z - z\,\dot{\bar z}) + B\bar B\,. \end{aligned} \qquad (1.6)$$

It is worth pointing out that the Lagrangian (1.5) consists of the three independent invariants. One of them is formed by the first two terms and is just the free Lagrangian of the irreducible chiral $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ multiplet (z,ξ); the other one is the WZ term of this multiplet $\sim\gamma$; the remainder in (1.5) is the invariant involving the $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ quotient fields (π,B). The relative coefficient between the first and third invariants has been fixed by requiring π to have the standardly normalized kinetic term. In what follows, the various Lagrangians of the long multiplet will be chosen in such a way that their free parts have the form (1.5). In particular, both the kinetic term $\dot{\bar z}\dot z$ and the auxiliary field term $B\bar B$ will always enter with the same coefficient equal to 1.

The quantum brackets for the involved variables are

$$[z,p_z]=i\,,\qquad [\bar z,\bar p_{\bar z}]=i\,,\qquad \{\xi,\bar\xi\,\}=1\,,\qquad \{\pi,\bar\pi\}=1\,. \qquad (1.7)$$

The corresponding quantum Weyl-ordered Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned} H &= p_z\bar p_{\bar z} - i\left(\sigma-\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)(zp_z-\bar z\bar p_{\bar z}) + \left(\rho^2+\frac{\gamma^2}{4}\right)z\bar z - (\sigma-\gamma)\;\xi\bar\xi - \sigma\,\pi\bar\pi \\ &\quad + \rho\left(\xi\bar\pi+\pi\bar\xi\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2} + \sigma. \end{aligned} \qquad (1.8)$$

The quantum supercharges are

$$Q=\sqrt{2}\,\xi\left(p_z-\frac{i}{2}\gamma\bar z\right)-\sqrt{2}\,i\rho\,\pi\,\bar z\,,\qquad \bar Q=\sqrt{2}\,\bar\xi\left(\bar p_{\bar z}+\frac{i}{2}\gamma z\right)+\sqrt{2}\,i\rho\,\bar\pi\,z\,. \quad (1.9)$$

The Weyl-ordered $U(1)$ generator reads

$$\Sigma=-\,i\sigma\,(zp_z-\bar z\bar p_{\bar z})-\sigma\left(\xi\bar\xi+\pi\bar\pi\right)+\sigma. \qquad (1.10)$$

The quantum problem for the Hamiltonian (1.8) was solved in [12]. The energy spectrum was shown to be bounded from below under the condition

$$\sqrt{4\rho^2+\gamma^2}\geq|\gamma-2\sigma|\,, \qquad (1.11)$$

and the energy of the ground state is zero, while all excited states possess the positive energy.[2] The reason why just (1.8) was taken in [12] as the genuine Hamiltonian was explained earlier, after (1.1), and it is related to the embedding of (1.1) into the algebra $su(2|1)$. Note that we can pass in (1.1) to the shifted generators $H \to H + a$ and $\Sigma \to \Sigma + a$, leaving the generator $H - \Sigma$ unaffected. Then both the energy spectrum with respect to H and the value of the Σ charge are shifted by a constant a. One can always choose a in such a way that both bosonic generators are vanishing on the ground state. Then, the condition (1.11) secures the absence of negative energies.

Staying solely within the $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 1$ framework, we can always eliminate the parameter σ from the Lagrangian (1.5) through the redefinition (1.4) and pass to the difference of (1.8) and (1.10) as the Hamiltonian, in which all the dependence on σ is canceled out and the condition (1.11) is identically satisfied (since we can choose $\sigma = 0$ altogether).

2 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield description of long multiplets

Here, we show how to reproduce the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetric mechanics of [12] from the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield approach properly modified as compared to the standard one [15].

2.1 Modified $\mathcal{N} = 2, d = 1$ superspace approach

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $d = 1$ superspace coordinates $\left(t, \theta, \bar{\theta}\right)$ are defined to experience the standard transformations with respect to the superalgebra (1.1)

$$\delta\theta = \epsilon\,, \qquad \delta\bar{\theta} = \bar{\epsilon}\,, \qquad \delta t = i\left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right). \tag{2.1}$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ covariant derivatives $\mathcal{D}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - i\bar{\theta}\partial_t + \bar{\theta}\tilde{\Sigma}\,, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{D}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}} + i\theta\partial_t - \theta\tilde{\Sigma}\,, \tag{2.2}$$

and satisfy the following anticommutation relations:

$$\{\mathcal{D}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}\} = 2i\partial_t - 2\tilde{\Sigma}\,, \quad \{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}\} = \{\bar{\mathcal{D}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}\} = 0\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The new conserved operator $\tilde{\Sigma}$ does not act on the superspace coordinates and commutes with the spinor derivatives but can take nonzero values on the corresponding superfields. So it can be viewed as an active central charge [16]. The Hamiltonian is realized as the time translations generator, $H = i\partial_t$.

The covariant derivatives possess nonstandard transformation properties under (2.1)

$$\delta\mathcal{D} = \bar{\epsilon}\,\tilde{\Sigma}\,, \qquad \delta\bar{\mathcal{D}} = -\,\epsilon\,\tilde{\Sigma}\,. \tag{2.4}$$

---

[2] It was noted in [12] that in the case of equality in (1.11), i.e., $\sqrt{4\rho^2 + \gamma^2} = |\gamma - 2\sigma|$, the ground state reveals an additional degeneracy.

As was already mentioned, the general $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield can have an external charge with respect to the generator $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and undergo the following (passive) transformation law

$$\delta\Phi = \left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right)\tilde{\Sigma}\Phi\,. \tag{2.5}$$

The covariant spinor derivatives of such a superfield are again superfields, just owing to the transformation law (2.4). The spinor generators corresponding to the transformation law (2.5) are defined as

$$Q = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} - i\bar{\theta}\partial_t + \bar{\theta}\tilde{\Sigma}\,, \quad \bar{Q} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}} - i\theta\partial_t + \theta\tilde{\Sigma}\,, \quad \{Q,\bar{Q}\} = 2i\partial_t - 2\tilde{\Sigma}\,. \tag{2.6}$$

As expected, they anticommute with the covariant spinor derivatives (2.2).[3]

2.2 Long multiplet

The long multiplet is described by the pair of fermionic and bosonic $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields Ψ and Z which possess nonzero $U(1)$ charge,

$$\tilde{\Sigma}\Psi = \sigma\Psi\,, \quad \tilde{\Sigma}Z = \sigma Z\,, \tag{2.7}$$

and are subject to the following constraints with $\rho \neq 0$:

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}\Psi = -\sqrt{2}\,\rho\, Z \quad \Rightarrow \quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}Z = 0\,. \tag{2.8}$$

The superfield Z is chiral and describes an irreducible multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$, while Ψ, as a solution of (2.8), can be represented as

$$\Psi = \Pi + \sqrt{2}\,\rho\,\bar{\theta}Z\,, \qquad \bar{\mathcal{D}}\Pi = 0\,, \quad \tilde{\Sigma}\,\Pi = \sigma\Pi\,. \tag{2.9}$$

The component expansion of the chiral superfields Π and Z is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{\mathcal{D}}Z = 0\,, \qquad \tilde{\Sigma}\,Z = \sigma Z \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad Z = z + \sqrt{2}\,\theta\,\xi - i\,\theta\bar{\theta}\,D_t z\,, \\ &\bar{\mathcal{D}}\Pi = 0\,, \qquad \tilde{\Sigma}\,\Pi = \sigma\Pi \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \Pi = \pi + \sqrt{2}\,\theta B - i\,\theta\bar{\theta}\,D_t\pi\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Under $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry, the superfields Ψ and Z transform with a nontrivial phase factor $\propto \sigma$:

$$\delta\Psi = \sigma\left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right)\Psi\,, \quad \delta Z = \sigma\left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right)Z\,. \tag{2.11}$$

These transformations imply the following transformation law for the superfield Π:

$$\delta\Pi = \sigma\left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right)\Pi - \sqrt{2}\,\rho\,\bar{\epsilon}\,Z\,. \tag{2.12}$$

---

[3] By the similarity transformation $\Phi = e^{-it\tilde{\Sigma}}\hat{\Phi}$, one could transform the covariant derivatives and supercharges to the standard form, with $\tilde{\Sigma}$ becoming some extra $U(1)$ generator times a mass-dimension parameter. In components, it amounts just to the redefinition (1.4). However, the time translation generator in the realization on $\hat{\Phi}$ necessarily involves the $U(1)$ rotation part $\sim \tilde{\Sigma}$. We will work in the original frame, where the time translation generator is a pure shift, $H = i\partial_t$.

For the component fields in (2.10), these superfield transformation laws induce just the transformations (1.2).

Thus, we started with the general complex bosonic and fermionic $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields Z and Ψ having the transformation properties (2.11) (we can equally choose $\sigma \neq 0$ or $\sigma = 0$; this is not crucial for our consideration). Then, we impose on Z the standard chirality condition, which makes it the chiral $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfield carrying the irreducible multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$. The pivotal point is that the fermionic superfield Ψ is now subjected, instead of the standard chirality condition, to the new condition given in (2.8). It expresses some components of Ψ in terms of the components of Z and forces the remaining superfield Π with the field content $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ to transform through Z. The nontrivial pieces in the transformations (1.2) of the components of Π arise just as a consequence of this new manifestly $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetric constraint on Ψ. In the limit $\rho = 0$, the superfields (2.10) describe two independent irreducible $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets, $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$. In other words, in this limit, the indecomposable long $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplet $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})$ splits into the direct sum of two "short" irreducible $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets. At $\rho \neq 0$, such a splitting cannot be accomplished by any field redefinition.[4]

The most general Lagrangian of the long multiplet is a sum of two invariant Lagrangians,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\rho)} = \mathcal{L}_{(Z)} + \mathcal{L}_{(\Psi,Z)}\,, \tag{2.13}$$

where the invariant Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{(\Psi,Z)}$ is

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\Psi,Z)} = \frac{1}{2}\int d\bar\theta\, d\theta\, \Psi\bar\Psi\, h_1\left(Z,\bar Z\right) \tag{2.14}$$

and $\mathcal{L}_{(Z)}$ is the general Lagrangian for the chiral superfield Z [15]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{(Z)} = \frac{1}{4}\int d\bar\theta\, d\theta\, \bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar Z\, \mathcal{D}Z\, h_0\left(Z,\bar Z\right) - \frac{\gamma}{2}\int d\bar\theta\, d\theta\, h_{(\gamma)}\left(Z,\bar Z\right). \tag{2.15}$$

The component form of the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{(\rho)}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{(\rho)} \;=\;& h_0\, D_t z\, \bar D_t \bar z + \frac{i}{2}\, h_0\left(\bar\xi\, D_t\xi - \bar D_t\bar\xi\,\xi\right) + \frac{i}{2}\,\xi\bar\xi\left(\bar D_t\bar z\,\partial_{\bar z}h_0 - D_t z\,\partial_z h_0\right)\\
&-\gamma\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\bar D_t\bar z\,\partial_{\bar z}h_{(\gamma)} - D_t z\,\partial_z h_{(\gamma)}\right) + \partial_z\partial_{\bar z}h_{(\gamma)}\,\xi\bar\xi\right] + \frac{i}{2}\,h_1\left(\bar\pi\, D_t\pi - \bar D_t\bar\pi\,\pi\right)\\
&+ B\bar B\, h_1 + \xi\pi\,\bar B\,\partial_z h_1 + \bar\pi\bar\xi\, B\,\partial_{\bar z}h_1 + \frac{i}{2}\,\pi\bar\pi\left(\bar D_t\bar z\,\partial_{\bar z}h_1 - D_t z\,\partial_z h_1\right)\\
&+ \pi\bar\pi\,\xi\bar\xi\,\partial_z\partial_{\bar z}h_1 - \rho\left(\pi\bar\xi\,\bar z\partial_{\bar z}h_1 + \xi\bar\pi\, z\partial_z h_1\right) - \rho\left(\pi\bar\xi + \xi\bar\pi\right)h_1 - \rho^2 z\bar z\, h_1 .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

In the case of $\sigma \neq 0$, supersymmetry in addition requires the $U(1)$ invariance of the above Lagrangians. According to (2.11), the arbitrary functions $h_0\,(z,\bar z)$, $h_{(\gamma)}\,(z,\bar z)$, and $h_1\,(z,\bar{z})$ must satisfy the $U(1)$ invariance conditions in this case:

---

[4] It is worth mentioning that the reduction $Z = const, \sigma = 0$ in (2.8) yields a modified version of the multiplet $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ considered in [17].

$$h_0\,(z,\bar{z}) \equiv h_0\,(z\bar{z})\,,\quad h_{(\gamma)}\,(z,\bar{z}) \equiv h_{(\gamma)}\,(z\bar{z})\,,\quad h_1\,(z,\bar{z}) \equiv h_1\,(z\bar{z})\,,\qquad \sigma \neq 0\,. \tag{2.17}$$

However, making the redefinition (1.4) in the $U(1)$ invariant Lagrangian, we can eliminate any dependence on σ in it, after which it becomes a particular $U(1)$ invariant case of (2.16) with $\sigma = 0$. Thus, the most general invariant Lagrangian of the long multiplet is just the $\sigma = 0$ version of (2.16). No additional $U(1)$ invariance is required.

We see that the deformation parameter ρ is responsible for the appearance of the new potential and Yukawa-type terms.

As an example, we present the superfield form of the free Lagrangian (1.5):

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\rho)} \;=\; \frac{1}{4}\int d\bar{\theta}\,d\theta\,\big(\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar{Z}\,\mathcal{D}Z + 2\Psi\bar{\Psi} - 2\gamma\,Z\bar{Z}\big)\,. \tag{2.18}$$

It is a sum of three superfield invariants.

Finally, we note that the constraint for Ψ in (2.8) with $\rho \neq 0$ looks like the expression of the chiral superfield Z through an unconstrained fermionic prepotential Ψ. However, in the present case, this analogy does not work because the prepotential should admit the appropriate pregauge freedom like $\delta\Psi = \bar{\mathcal{D}}\Lambda$, while the Lagrangians in (2.13) do not respect such a freedom. As a result, the extra fields $\pi(t), B(t)$ accommodated by the $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ superfield Π in (2.10) are by no means gauge degrees of freedom.

2.3 Long multiplet with the twisted Grassmann parity

The superfields Ψ and Z subjected to the constraints (2.8) have their opposite Grassmann parity counterparts Y (bosonic) and Ω (fermionic) satisfying the constraints

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}Y = -\sqrt{2}\,\varrho\,\Omega \quad\Rightarrow\quad \bar{\mathcal{D}}\Omega = 0\,,\qquad \tilde{\Sigma}\,Y = \sigma Y\,,\ \tilde{\Sigma}\,\Omega = \sigma\Omega\,. \tag{2.19}$$

The parameter ϱ, in contrast to ρ in (2.8), is dimensionless. Obviously, Y can be written as

$$Y = Y_0 + \sqrt{2}\,\varrho\,\bar{\theta}\,\Omega\,,\qquad \bar{\mathcal{D}}Y_0 = 0\,,\quad \tilde{\Sigma}\,Y_0 = \sigma Y_0\,, \tag{2.20}$$

with

$$Y_0 = y + \sqrt{2}\,\theta\,\eta - i\,\theta\bar{\theta}\,D_t y\,,\qquad \Omega = \chi + \sqrt{2}\,\theta\,C - i\,\theta\bar{\theta}\,D_t\chi\,. \tag{2.21}$$

The $\mathcal{N} = 2$ transformations of Y and Ω are given by

$$\delta Y = \sigma\left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right)Y\,,\qquad \delta\Omega = \sigma\left(\epsilon\,\bar{\theta} + \bar{\epsilon}\,\theta\right)\Omega\,. \tag{2.22}$$

They induce the following transformations for the component fields defined in (2.21):

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta y = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon\,\eta - \sqrt{2}\,\varrho\,\bar{\epsilon}\,\chi\,,\qquad \delta\eta = \sqrt{2}\,i\,\bar{\epsilon}\,D_t y + \sqrt{2}\,\varrho\,\bar{\epsilon}\,C\,,\\
&\delta\chi = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon\,C\,,\qquad \delta C = \sqrt{2}\,i\,\bar{\epsilon}\,D_t\chi\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

2.3.1 Free model

For simplicity, we consider the free action with $\sigma = 0$:

$$S^{\text{free}}_{(\varrho)} = \frac{1}{4}\int dt\, d\bar\theta\, d\theta\, \big(\bar{\mathcal{D}}\bar Y\, \mathcal{D}Y + 2\,\Omega\bar\Omega - 2\gamma\, Y\bar Y\big)\,. \tag{2.24}$$

In the component notation, it reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\varrho)} \;=\;& \dot{\bar y}\dot y + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\chi\dot\chi + \chi\dot{\bar\chi}\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\eta\dot\eta + \eta\dot{\bar\eta}\right) + i\varrho\left(\bar C\dot y - C\dot{\bar y}\right) + \left(1+\varrho^2\right)C\bar C \\ & -\gamma\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(y\,\dot{\bar y} - \bar y\dot y\right) + \eta\bar\eta - \varrho^2\chi\bar\chi - \varrho\left(C\bar y + \bar C y\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

We eliminate the auxiliary fields by their equations of motion,

$$C = \frac{\varrho}{1+\varrho^2}\left(-\,i\dot y - \gamma y\right), \qquad \bar C = \frac{\varrho}{1+\varrho^2}\left(i\dot{\bar y} - \gamma\bar y\right), \tag{2.26}$$

and obtain the on-shell Lagrangian in the form[5]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\varrho)} \;=\;& \frac{1}{1+\varrho^2}\left[\dot{\bar y}\dot y - \frac{i}{2}\gamma\left(1-\varrho^2\right)\left(y\,\dot{\bar y} - \bar y\dot y\right) - \gamma^2\varrho^2 y\bar y\right] \\ & + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\chi\dot\chi + \chi\dot{\bar\chi}\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar\eta\dot\eta + \eta\dot{\bar\eta}\right) - \gamma\,\eta\bar\eta + \gamma\varrho^2\chi\bar\chi\,.\end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

The relevant on-shell transformations are

$$\begin{aligned}&\delta y = -\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon\,\eta - \sqrt{2}\,\varrho\,\bar\epsilon\,\chi\,, \\ &\delta\eta = \frac{\sqrt{2}\,\bar\epsilon}{1+\varrho^2}\left(i\dot y - \gamma\varrho^2 y\right), \qquad \delta\chi = \frac{\sqrt{2}\,\varrho\,\epsilon}{1+\varrho^2}\left(i\dot y + \gamma y\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Quantizing the free model (2.27) as

$$[y, p_y] = i\,, \qquad [\bar y, \bar p_{\bar y}] = i\,, \qquad \{\eta, \bar\eta\,\} = 1\,, \qquad \{\chi, \bar\chi\} = 1\,, \tag{2.29}$$

we write the quantum Hamiltonian,

$$\begin{aligned}H \;=\;& \frac{1}{1+\varrho^2}\left[\left(1+\varrho^2\right)\bar p_{\bar y} + \frac{i}{2}\gamma\left(1-\varrho^2\right)y\right]\left[\left(1+\varrho^2\right)p_y - \frac{i}{2}\gamma\left(1-\varrho^2\right)\bar y\right] \\ & + \frac{\gamma^2\varrho^2}{1+\varrho^2}\,y\bar y + \gamma\,\eta\bar\eta - \gamma\varrho^2\chi\bar\chi\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

and the quantum supercharges,

$$\begin{aligned}Q &= \sqrt{2}\left[\eta\left(p_y - \frac{i}{2}\gamma\bar y\right) + \varrho\bar\chi\left(\bar p_{\bar y} - \frac{i}{2}\gamma y\right)\right], \\ \bar Q &= \sqrt{2}\left[\bar\eta\left(\bar p_{\bar y} + \frac{i}{2}\gamma y\right) + \varrho\chi\left(p_y + \frac{i}{2}\gamma\bar y\right)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

---

[5] The constant metric factor in the first line can be removed by rescaling $y, \bar y$.

We observe that the nonzero deformation parameter ϱ gives rise to the appearance of the new oscillator-type terms and renormalizes the strength of the external "magnetic field" in the WZ term. Note that these new terms are proportional to γ and so appear only on account of adding the external WZ term. In the general case, the new terms also appear only due to some superfield interactions.

3 $SU(2|1)$ chiral multiplets

In this section, we discuss how long $\mathcal{N}=2, d=1$ multiplets can be embedded into the $SU(2|1)$ chiral multiplets in the framework of the $SU(2|1)$ mechanics as a deformation of the $\mathcal{N}=4$ mechanics [9]. We will proceed from the manifestly $SU(2|1)$ covariant world-line superfield approach.

The relevant deformed superalgebra is the centrally extended superalgebra $\widehat{su}(2|1)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{Q^i, \bar{Q}_j\} = 2mI^i_j + 2\delta^i_j\left(H - mF\right), \qquad \left[I^i_j, I^k_l\right] = \delta^k_j I^i_l - \delta^i_l I^k_j\,,\\
&\left[I^i_j, \bar{Q}_l\right] = \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^i_j \bar{Q}_l - \delta^i_l \bar{Q}_j\,, \qquad \left[I^i_j, Q^k\right] = \delta^k_j Q^i - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^i_j Q^k,\\
&\left[F, \bar{Q}_l\right] = -\frac{1}{2}\,\bar{Q}_l\,, \qquad \left[F, Q^k\right] = \frac{1}{2}\,Q^k. \qquad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

The supersymmetric ϵ transformations of the superspace coordinates $\zeta := \{t, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}^k\}$, $\bar{\theta}^i = \overline{(\theta_i)}$ are given by

$$\delta\theta_i = \epsilon_i + 2m\,\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\theta_i\,, \quad \delta\bar{\theta}^i = \bar{\epsilon}^i - 2m\,\epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k\bar{\theta}^i\,, \quad \delta t = i\left(\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k + \epsilon_k\bar{\theta}^k\right). \qquad (3.2)$$

The $SU(2|1)$ covariant derivatives are defined as[6]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^i &= \left[1 + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{3m^2}{8}\left(\theta\right)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\,\right)^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} - m\,\bar{\theta}^i\theta_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_j} - i\bar{\theta}^i\partial_t\\
&\quad + m\,\bar{\theta}^i\tilde{F} - m\,\bar{\theta}^j\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\tilde{I}^i_j\,,\\
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j &= -\left[1 + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{3m^2}{8}\left(\theta\right)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\,\right)^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^j} + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^k} + i\theta_j\partial_t\\
&\quad - m\,\theta_j\tilde{F} + m\,\theta_l\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\tilde{I}^l_j\,, \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{F}$ and $\tilde{I}^i_k$ are the "matrix" parts of the generators F and I^i_k. The $SU(2|1)$ superfields can carry an external $SU(2)$ spin index and $U(1)$ charge corresponding to these matrix parts.

The $SU(2|1)$ superfields can be subject to the chiral or antichiral conditions

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_i\Phi = 0\,, \qquad \mathcal{D}^i\bar{\Phi} = 0\,. \qquad (3.4)$$

---

[6] We use the following conventions: $\left(\theta\right)^2 = \theta_i\theta^i$ and $\left(\bar{\theta}\,\right)^2 = \bar{\theta}^i\bar{\theta}_i\,$.

They can be solved through the shortened superfields living on the chiral subspaces $\zeta_L = \{t_L, \theta_i\}$ and $\zeta_R = \left\{t_R, \bar{\theta}^i\right\}$, with

$$t_L = t + i\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{i}{2}\,m\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\,\right)^2, \qquad \text{and c.c.}. \tag{3.5}$$

These subspaces are closed under the transformations (3.2). The covariant derivatives $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j$ can be rewritten in the basis $\left\{t_L, \theta_i, \bar{\theta}_k\right\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j \;\;=\;\; & -\left[1 + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{3m^2}{8}\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\,\right)^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^j} + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^k} \\
& - m\,\theta_j\tilde{F} + m\,\theta_l\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\tilde{I}^l_j\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The most general $SU(2|1)$ chiral multiplet defined in [9] is described by a chiral superfield Φ_A having an external index A of $SU(2) \subset SU(2|1)$ and carrying an external $U(1)$ charge, $\tilde{F}\Phi_A = 2\kappa\Phi_A$. So, it is characterized by the pair of real numbers $(s, 2\kappa)$, where s is the external $SU(2)$ spin and 2κ is the external $U(1)$ charge. As compared to the $SU(2)$ singlet chiral superfields ($s = 0$) basically considered in [9], the number of component fields in Φ_A carrying the nonzero external spins $s = 1/2, 1, \ldots$ increases according to

$$(\mathbf{2}[\mathbf{2s+1}], \mathbf{4}[\mathbf{2s+1}], \mathbf{2}[\mathbf{2s+1}]).$$

As was shown in [9], the decomposition of the $s = 0$ chiral superfield into the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets is given by a direct sum of chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$.[7] It turns out that the analogous decompositions of the $s \neq 0$ chiral superfields necessarily involve the long $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplets with $\rho \sim m$. Now, we will explicitly demonstrate this on the example of the $s = 1/2$ chiral superfield.

3.1 $s = 1/2$

The $SU(2|1)$ chiral superfield Φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) in the $U(2)$ representation $(1/2, 2\kappa)$ is defined by the constraints

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j\Phi_i = 0\,, \qquad \tilde{I}^k_l\Phi_i = \delta^k_i\Phi_l - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^k_l\Phi_i\,, \qquad \tilde{F}\Phi_i = 2\kappa\,\Phi_i\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Using the explicit form of the covariant derivative (3.6), the chirality condition is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left[1 + m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k - \frac{3m^2}{8}\,(\theta)^2\left(\bar{\theta}\,\right)^2\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^j} - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_j\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^k}\right\}\Phi_i + \,2\kappa m\,\theta_j\Phi_i \\
& - m\,\theta_l\left(1 - m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\left(\delta^l_i\Phi_j - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^l_j\Phi_i\right) = 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

---

[7] In [9], the $SU(2|1)$ Lagrangians were reformulated in terms of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields pertinent to the standard definition of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spinor derivatives (without terms $\sim \tilde{\Sigma}$ in (2.2)). Here, we deal with the centrally extended superalgebra (1.1) and superfields having some external charges with respect to the central charge generator Σ.

and it is solved by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_i\left(t_L,\theta_i,\bar\theta_k\right) &= \left(1+2m\,\bar\theta^l\theta_l\right)^{-\kappa}\left[1-\frac{3m^2}{16}\left(\bar\theta\right)^2(\theta)^2\right]\phi_i\left(t_L,\theta_i\right) \\
&\quad - m\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\delta_i^j\,\bar\theta^k\theta_k-\bar\theta^j\theta_i\right)\phi_j\left(t_L,\theta_i\right), \\
\phi_i\left(t_L,\theta_i\right) &= z_i+\theta_i\psi-\sqrt{2}\,\theta^k\psi_{(ik)}+\theta_k\theta^k B_i\,, \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\overline{(z_i)}=\bar z^i,\qquad \overline{(B^i)}=\bar B_i,\qquad \overline{(\psi)}=\bar\psi,\qquad \overline{(\psi^{(ik)})}=\bar\psi_{(ik)}\,. \qquad (3.10)$$

The superfields Φ_i and ϕ_i transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Phi_i &= m\left(1-m\,\bar\theta^l\theta_l\right)\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\delta_i^j\left(\epsilon_k\bar\theta^k+\bar\epsilon^k\theta_k\right)-\left(\epsilon_i\bar\theta^j+\bar\epsilon^j\theta_i\right)\right]\Phi_j \\
&\quad + 2\kappa m\left(\epsilon_k\bar\theta^k+\bar\epsilon^k\theta_k\right)\Phi_i\,, \\
\delta\phi_i &= 4\kappa m\left(\bar\epsilon^k\theta_k\right)\phi_i+2m\left(\frac{1}{2}\,\delta_i^j\,\bar\epsilon^k\theta_k-\bar\epsilon^j\theta_i\right)\phi_j\,. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

The relevant $SU(2|1)$ transformations of the component fields are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta z^i=-\,\epsilon^i\psi-\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon_k\psi^{(ik)}, \\
&\delta\psi=\bar\epsilon^k\left(i\,\nabla_t z_k+\frac{3m}{2}\,z_k\right)-\epsilon^k B_k\,, \\
&\delta\psi^{(ik)}=\sqrt{2}\,\bar\epsilon^{(k}\left[i\,\nabla_t z^{i)}-\frac{m}{2}\,z^{i)}\right]-\sqrt{2}\,\epsilon^{(i}B^{k)}, \\
&\delta B^i=-\,\bar\epsilon^i\left(i\,\nabla_t\psi-\frac{m}{2}\,\psi\right)-\sqrt{2}\,\bar\epsilon_k\left(i\,\nabla_t\psi^{(ik)}+\frac{3m}{2}\,\psi^{(ik)}\right), \qquad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\nabla_t=\partial_t+2i\kappa m\,,\qquad \bar\nabla_t=\partial_t-2i\kappa m\,. \qquad (3.13)$$

Singling out, in (3.12), the subset of $\mathcal{N}=2$ transformations associated with the parameter $\epsilon_1\equiv\epsilon$, we can identify the component fields $\left(z_i,\psi^{(ik)},\psi,B^i\right)$ with the system of three $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets: one long multiplet and the irreducible chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$. The long multiplet is formed by the fields $\left(z_1,\psi^{(12)},\psi,B^1\right)$:

$$z=z_1\,,\qquad \xi=\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{2}}-\psi_{(12)}\,,\qquad \pi=\frac{\psi}{\sqrt{2}}+\psi_{(12)}\,,\qquad B=-B^1. \qquad (3.14)$$

The parameter ρ is equal to $-m$, and the charge σ is identified with $(2\kappa-1/2)\,m$. Under these identifications, the $\epsilon_1,\bar\epsilon^1$ transformations from (3.12) for the fields defined in (3.14) precisely coincide with (1.2). The $\mathcal{N}=2$-irreducible multiplets $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})$ are composed of the fields $(z_2, \psi^{(11)})$ and $(\psi^{(22)}, B^2)$.

To clarify this decomposition into $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets, let us note that the generator Σ in the superalgebra (1.1) treated as a subalgebra of (3.1) is identified with the combination of the $SU(2|1)$ bosonic generators

$$\Sigma = m\left(F - I^1_1\right), \tag{3.15}$$

corresponding to the identification $Q \equiv Q^1$, $\bar{Q} \equiv \bar{Q}_1$ in (3.1). We see that the superalgebra (1.1) proves properly embedded into (3.1), with the same Hamiltonian H which commutes with all $SU(2|1)$ supercharges. The generators F and I^1_1 have the following realizations on the component fields:

	z_1	z_2	ψ	$\psi^{(12)}$	$\psi^{(11)}$	$\psi^{(22)}$	B^1	B^2
I^1_1	$1/2$	$-1/2$	0	0	-1	1	$-1/2$	$1/2$
$F-2\kappa$	0	0	$-1/2$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$	$-1/2$	-1	-1

The table shows also that the $U(1)$ charge Σ takes the values $(2\kappa - 1/2)\,m$, $(2\kappa - 3/2)\,m$ and $(2\kappa + 1/2)\,m$ on different irreducible $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets forming the chiral $SU(2|1)$ multiplet we are considering. From the table, one also finds the $U(2)$ assignment of the component fields of the chiral multiplet $(s = 1/2, 2\kappa)$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{bosons} \qquad (1/2, 2\kappa) \oplus (1/2, 2\kappa - 1)\,, \\ &\text{fermions} \qquad (0, 2\kappa - 1/2) \oplus (1, 2\kappa - 1/2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Here, the first and second numerals in the brackets stand for the spin and F charge of the given component field. The Σ charge assignment of all fields can also be easily established.

3.1.1 Invariant action

The general $SU(2|1)$ invariant action can be constructed as

$$S_{\text{kin.}} = \int dt\, \mathcal{L}_{\text{kin.}} = \frac{1}{2}\int d\zeta\, f\left(\Phi_i \bar{\Phi}^i\right), \tag{3.17}$$

where the $SU(2|1)$ invariant measure $d\zeta$ is given by

$$d\zeta = dt\, d^2\theta\, d^2\bar{\theta}\left(1 + 2m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right), \quad \delta\left(d\zeta\right) = 0\,. \tag{3.18}$$

The Lagrangian in (3.17) should be a function of the $U(2)$ invariant argument $\Phi_i\bar{\Phi}^i$ due to the presence of the $U(2)$ induced terms in the transformations (3.11).

The candidate superpotential term can be written as

$$S_{\text{pot}} = \tilde{m}\int d\zeta_L\, \mathcal{F}\left(\phi_i\right) + \text{c.c.}, \tag{3.19}$$

where the left measure $d\zeta_L = dt_L\, d^2\theta$ is not invariant:

$$\delta\left(d\zeta_L\right) = -\,2m\left(d\zeta_L\right)\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\,. \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.11), it follows that there is no way to define the function $\mathcal{F}\left(\phi_i\right)$ so as to compensate the measure transformation (3.20). Indeed, the only $SU(2)$ invariant argument $\phi_i\phi^i$ is identically vanishing. Thus no superpotential action for the $s = 1/2$ case can be constructed.[8]

3.1.2 Free model

The free Lagrangian (1.5) with $\gamma = 0$ is a part of the following $SU(2|1)$ invariant (modulo a total derivative) free superfield Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{\text{kin.}} = \frac{1}{4}\int d^2\theta\, d^2\bar{\theta}\left(1 + 2m\,\bar{\theta}^k\theta_k\right)\Phi_i\bar{\Phi}^i. \tag{3.21}$$

To see this, we rewrite (3.21) in terms of the component fields:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{\text{kin.}} \;&=\; \bar{\nabla}_t\bar{z}^i\,\nabla_t z_i + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}\,\nabla_t\psi + \psi\,\bar{\nabla}_t\bar{\psi}\right) + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\psi}_{(ik)}\,\nabla_t\psi^{(ik)} + \psi^{(ik)}\,\bar{\nabla}_t\bar{\psi}_{(ik)}\right) + B^i\bar{B}_i \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{2}\,m\left(z_i\bar{\nabla}_t\bar{z}^i - \bar{z}^i\nabla_t z_i\right) + \frac{m}{2}\left(\psi\bar{\psi} - 3\psi^{(ik)}\bar{\psi}_{(ik)}\right) - \frac{3m^2}{4}\,z_i\bar{z}^i.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

It can be split into a sum of three $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrangians:

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}} = \mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\rho)}\,\big|_{\gamma=0} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})} + \mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})}\,. \tag{3.23}$$

Here, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\rho)}\,\big|_{\gamma=0}$ is just the $\gamma = 0$ version[9] of the long multiplet Lagrangian (1.5) for the fields (z, ξ, π, B) defined in (3.14), with $\sigma = (2\kappa - 1/2)\,m$ and $\rho = -\,m\,.$ The remaining two Lagrangians are

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{0})} \;=\; \bar{D}_t\bar{\hat{z}}\,D_t\hat{z} + \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\hat{\xi}}\,D_t\hat{\xi} + \hat{\xi}\,\bar{D}_t\bar{\hat{\xi}}\right) - \gamma\left[\frac{i}{2}\left(\hat{z}\,\bar{D}_t\bar{\hat{z}} - \bar{\hat{z}}\,D_t\hat{z}\right) + \hat{\xi}\bar{\hat{\xi}}\right], \tag{3.24}$$

with $\hat{z} = z_2\,,\; \hat{\xi} = -\,\psi^{(11)},\; \sigma = (2\kappa + 1/2)\,m\,,\; \gamma = 2m\,,$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{free}}_{(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{2},\mathbf{2})} = \frac{i}{2}\left(\bar{\hat{\pi}}\,D_t\hat{\pi} + \hat{\pi}\,\bar{D}_t\bar{\hat{\pi}}\right) + \hat{B}\bar{\hat{B}}\,, \tag{3.25}$$

with $\hat{\pi} = \psi^{(22)}\,,\; \hat{B} = B^2,\; \sigma = (2\kappa - 3/2)\,m\,.$

---

[8] The superpotential terms can be written only for the integer values of s $(s = 0, 1, 2\ldots)$ and nonvanishing $\kappa\,.$ For example, in the $s = 1$ case, we deal with the triplet superfield $\phi_{ik}\left(t_L, \theta_k\right)$ defined on the left chiral subspace and having the transformation law (3.11) in which the $SU(2)$ part is properly modified and the $U(1)$ part appears with the weight $4\alpha\kappa(\bar{\epsilon}^i\theta_i)$, where $2\alpha\kappa$ is the corresponding $\tilde{F}$ charge. The $SU(2)$ invariant argument $\phi_{ik}\phi^{ik}$ undergoes only $U(1)$ transformation: $\delta\left(\phi_{ik}\phi^{ik}\right) = 8\alpha\kappa m\,\bar{\epsilon}^k\theta_k\,\left(\phi_{ik}\phi^{ik}\right).$ Then, a nonvanishing superpotential for $s = 1$ is $S_{\text{pot}} = \int d\zeta_L\,\left(\phi_{ik}\phi^{ik}\right)^{\frac{1}{4\alpha\kappa}}.$

[9] The Lagrangians of long multiplets with $\gamma \neq 0$ are contained in the $SU(2|1)$ Lagrangians for the chiral superfields with $s > 1/2$ (see Sec. 3.2).

3.1.3 Quantum generators

Let us consider the quantum system corresponding to the Lagrangian (3.22). Quantized brackets are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left[z_j, p^i\right] = i\delta^i_j\,, \qquad \left[\bar z^i, \bar p_j\right] = i\delta^i_j\,,\\
&\left\{\psi, \bar\psi\right\} = 1\,, \qquad \left\{\psi^{(ik)}\,, \bar\psi_{(jl)}\right\} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^i_j\delta^k_l + \delta^i_l\delta^k_j\right). \qquad (3.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

The relevant quantum Hamiltonian reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \;=\; & \left[p^i + i\left(2\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)m\bar z^i\right]\left[\bar p_i - i\left(2\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)mz_i\right] - \left(2\kappa - \frac{3}{2}\right)\left(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)m^2 z_i\bar z^i\\
& - \left(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)m\,\psi\bar\psi - \left(2\kappa - \frac{3}{2}\right)m\,\psi^{(ik)}\bar\psi_{(ik)}\,. \qquad (3.27)
\end{aligned}
$$

Quantum supercharges are given by the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^i &= \psi\left(p^i + im\bar z^i\right) + \sqrt{2}\,\psi^i_k\left(p^k - im\bar z^k\right),\\
\bar Q_j &= \bar\psi\left(\bar p_j - imz_j\right) - \sqrt{2}\,\bar\psi^k_j\left(\bar p_k + imz_k\right), \qquad (3.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

while the bosonic generators are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F &= -\,2i\kappa\left(z_kp^k - \bar z^k\bar p_k\right) - \left(2\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\psi\bar\psi + \psi^{(ik)}\bar\psi_{(ik)}\right),\\
I^i_j &= -\,i\left(z_jp^i - \bar z^i\bar p_j\right) + \frac{i}{2}\,\delta^i_j\left(z_kp^k - \bar z^k\bar p_k\right) + 2\,\psi^{(ik)}\bar\psi_{(jk)} - \delta^i_j\,\psi^{(lk)}\bar\psi_{(lk)}\,. \quad (3.29)
\end{aligned}
$$

The generators of the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ subalgebra (1.1) are realized as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q^1 \;&=\; \psi\left(p^1 + im\bar z^1\right) + \sqrt{2}\,\psi^1_k\left(p^k - im\bar z^k\right),\\
\bar Q_1 \;&=\; \bar\psi\left(\bar p_1 - imz_1\right) - \sqrt{2}\,\bar\psi^k_1\left(\bar p_k + imz_k\right),\\
\Sigma \;&=\; -\,i\left(2\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(z_1p^1 - \bar z^1\bar p_1\right) - \left(2\kappa - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\psi\bar\psi + 2\,\psi^{(12)}\bar\psi_{(12)}\right)\\
&\quad -\,i\left(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(z_2p^2 - \bar z^2\bar p_2\right) - \left(2\kappa + \frac{1}{2}\right)\psi^{(11)}\bar\psi_{(11)} - \left(2\kappa - \frac{3}{2}\right)\psi^{(22)}\bar\psi_{(22)}.
\end{aligned}
\qquad (3.30)
$$

Under the condition

$$
|4\kappa - 1| \leq 2\,, \qquad (3.31)
$$

the spectrum of Hamiltonian (3.27) is bounded from below, since it is equivalent to the condition (1.11) for the long multiplet with $\sigma = (2\kappa - 1/2)\,m$, $\gamma = 0$, $\rho = -\,m$ and for the short multiplet $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ with $\sigma = (2\kappa + 1/2)\,m$, $\gamma = 2m$, $\rho = 0$. The equation (3.31) is similar to the analogous condition for $s = 0$, $|4\kappa - 1| \leq 1$, deduced in [9].

3.2 Generic s

In the general case of $s \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}+1/2$, the chiral superfield $\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}$ belongs to the $U(2)$ representation $(s, 2\kappa)$ and is defined by the constraints [10]

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} = 0\,, \qquad \tilde{F}\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} = 2\kappa\, \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}\,,$$
$$\tilde{I}^k_l \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} = \sum_{n=1}^{2s} \left[\delta^k_{i_n} \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{n-1}\, l\, i_{n+1} \ldots i_{2s})} - \frac{1}{2}\,\delta^k_l \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}\right]. \tag{3.32}$$

The free action is again given by an integral over the $SU(2|1)$ invariant measure (3.18):

$$S^{\text{free}}_{\text{kin.}} \sim \int d\zeta\, \Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})} \bar{\Phi}^{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}. \tag{3.33}$$

The general action involves an arbitrary $U(2)$ invariant Lagrangian function of $\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}$.

The superfield $\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}$ amounts to the component field expansion with the following $U(2)$ assignments

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{bosons} \qquad && (s, 2\kappa) \oplus (s, 2\kappa - 1)\,, \\ &\text{fermions} \qquad && (s - 1/2, 2\kappa - 1/2) \oplus (s + 1/2, 2\kappa - 1/2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

On all these fields, the $U(1)$ generator Σ takes the values σ in the range between $(2\kappa - 1 - s)\,m$ and $(2\kappa + s)\,m$. For the minimal and maximal values of σ we observe the 2-fold degeneracy of fields (one complex boson and one complex fermion). These two sets are described by the irreducible chiral $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$. All other complex fields have the following values of σ:

$$\sigma = (2\kappa - s - 1 + n)\,m\,, \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots 2s\,. \tag{3.35}$$

The relevant fields exhibit the four-fold degeneracy (two complex bosons and two complex fermions). Every such a four-fold set forms a long multiplet.

Thus, the chiral $SU(2|1)$ multiplet with the external quantum numbers $(s, 2\kappa)$ decomposes into the following sum of $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets:

$$2s \text{ long multiplets} \oplus \text{one short } (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0}) \text{ multiplet} \oplus \text{one short } (\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \text{ multiplet}. \tag{3.36}$$

For the fixed long multiplet from this decomposition, the mass-dimension parameters ρ and σ are proportional to m and are specified by the numbers s, 2κ, and n. The external charges of $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields corresponding to the long multiplets are $\sigma_{(s,n)} = (2\kappa - s - 1 + n)\,m$, with $n = 1, 2, \ldots 2s$. For the superfields corresponding to the short multiplets in (3.36), the values of σ are $(2\kappa + s)\,m$ and $(2\kappa - s - 1)\,m$. All these $\mathcal{N}=2$ superfields are defined either by the constraints (2.8), with $\rho_{(s,n)} = -\sqrt{n\,(2s + 1 - n)}\,m$, $n = 1, \ldots, 2s$, or by the standard chirality constraints, with

---

[10] The values of the external $\tilde{F}$ charge can be different for different s. We choose them equal for simplicity.

$\bar{\mathcal{D}} = -\partial/\partial\bar{\theta} + i\theta\partial_t - \theta\sigma$. For $s = 1/2$, we have $\rho = -m$, in agreement with Sec. 3.1. For the generic s, one also finds that $\gamma_{(s,n)} = (2n - 2s - 1)\,m\,,\ n = 1, \ldots, 2s+1\,,$ leading to the generalization of the condition (3.31):

$$|4\kappa - 1| \leq 2s + 1. \tag{3.37}$$

It amounts to (1.11) for each $\mathcal{N} = 2$ multiplet. Note that the $s = 0$ model [9] involves the cases $\kappa = 0$ and $\kappa = 1/2$ with the degenerate vacuum states, for which $|4\kappa - 1| = 1$. This matches with the extra vacuum degeneracy in the case of equality in (1.11).

The general formulas for the parameters $\rho_{(s,n)}$ and $\gamma_{(s,n)}$ were found from the requirement that the free Lagrangians of long multiplets have the generic form (1.5). To obey this requirement, one should, in particular, properly rescale those linear combinations of the original component fields of $\Phi_{(i_1 \ldots i_{2s})}$ which are going to become the quotient fields (π, B) (no such a rescaling is needed for $s = 1/2$).

3.3 Dimensional reduction

The authors of [12] considered a dimensional reduction of the free $d = 4$ model of the chiral multiplet on $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ [14]. After reduction to $d = 1$, it became the free model of $SU(2|1)$ mechanics with an infinite set of fields[11] corresponding to a sum of long multiplets and the chiral multiplets $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})$. Comparing this set with the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ decomposition of $SU(2|1)$ chiral superfields, one concludes that the relevant SQM system involves a sum of $SU(2|1)$ chiral supermultiplets with all integer and half-integer spins $s = 0, 1/2, 1, \ldots$. They are described by the chiral $SU(2|1)$ superfields carrying the symmetrized multi-indices $(i_1 \cdots i_{2s})$, which corresponds to the harmonic expansion on S^3.

It is worth noting that our intrinsically one-dimensional superfield approach allowed us not only to straightforwardly reproduce the free actions for such superfields but also to construct their most general $SU(2|1)$ invariant self-interactions, including the Wess-Zumino and superpotential terms. While in the explicit example of Sec. 3.1 we limited our study to the simplest case of the external spin $s = 1/2$, there is no obstacle against including higher s superfields and constructing general interactions among them. It would be interesting to use these techniques to find possible corrections to the vacuum Casimir energies computed in [12] at the level of free $SU(2|1)$ invariant actions.

4 Outlook

We showed that the long multiplets naturally appear in $SU(2|1)$ mechanics of chiral multiplets [9], when the chiral superfield Φ_A carries some external index A with respect to the stability subgroup $SU(2)$ of the chiral $\mathcal{N} = 4\,,\ d = 1$ superspace constructed on the basis of the centrally extended supergroup $SU(2|1)$. We used the superfield techniques to construct the most general actions of the long multiplets, in the framework of both $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry and the $SU(2|1)$ extension of the latter. As a byproduct, we revealed the existence of another type of long $\mathcal{N}=2, d=1$ multiplets, with the opposite overall Grassmann parity as compared to that considered in [12].

---

[11] In [12], all fields also have an additional index of the external group $SU(2)_r$ of the Pauli-Gürsey type. Here, we do not consider such a group.

In [10], we introduced another type of $SU(2|1)$ chiral superfields, with the generalized chiral constraints involving a new dimensionless parameter λ. Unfortunately, such constraints are self-consistent only for the chiral superfields carrying no external $SU(2)$ spin. Yet the external indices of $SU(2) \subset SU(2|1)$ can be ascribed to some other $SU(2|1)$ superfields, with the field contents $(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{3})$, $(\mathbf{4},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{0})$ and $(\mathbf{3},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{1})$ [9, 11]. It would be of obvious interest to analyze the relevant decompositions with respect to $\mathcal{N}=2$ supersymmetry.

In [12], the one-dimensional quantum-mechanical problem for a single long multiplet was solved. It would be interesting to solve the $SU(2|1)$ extension of this problem associated with the free Lagrangian (3.21) and to analyze the role of the long multiplet contributions from the standpoint of the $SU(2|1)$ representation theory. In contrast to (3.22), the general action (3.17) involves interaction terms of $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets. Hence, it cannot be considered as a sum of free actions of $\mathcal{N}=2$ multiplets. As was already mentioned, it would be tempting to find out possible physical effects of these interactions, e.g., in the context of consideration in ref. [12].

In conclusion, we briefly discuss how $\mathcal{N}=2, d=1$ long multiplets can be generalized to the case of the standard flat $\mathcal{N}=4$, $d=1$ supersymmetry.

One of the options is to consider a system of complex $\mathcal{N}=4$ superfields Λ and $Z_i, i=1,2$, subjected to the constraints

$$\bar{D}_i\Lambda = -\sqrt{2}\,r\,Z_i\,, \qquad \bar{D}^i\bar{D}_i\Lambda = 0 \;\Rightarrow\; \bar{D}_k Z_i = 0\,. \tag{4.1}$$

The superfield Λ can be fermionic or bosonic, while Z_i should have the Grassmann parity opposite to Λ. The constraints (4.1) are solved through the set of chiral $\mathcal{N}=4$, $d=1$ superfields Λ_0 and Z_i:

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \sqrt{2}\,r\,\bar{\theta}^i Z_i\,, \qquad \bar{D}_k\Lambda_0 = 0\,, \qquad \bar{D}_k Z_i = 0\,. \tag{4.2}$$

These superfields transform as[12]

$$\delta\Lambda = 0\,,\; \delta Z_i = 0 \quad\Rightarrow\quad \delta\Lambda_0 = -\sqrt{2}\,r\,\bar{\epsilon}^i Z_i\,, \tag{4.3}$$

implying that the component transformations contain the parameter r and so correspond to an indecomposable multiplet. In the limiting case $r=0$, the superfield Λ becomes just a standard chiral $\mathcal{N}=4$ superfield.

Another indecomposable $\mathcal{N}=4$ supermultiplet parametrized by a real dimensionless parameter α was suggested in [13] in the component approach. As a matter of fact, the same system in the superfield approach can be defined by the constraints:

$$\bar{D}_k V = \frac{i\alpha}{2}\,D_k W\,, \qquad \bar{D}_k W = 0\,, \tag{4.4}$$

---

[12] We use the "passive" form of the transformations.

where V and W are bosonic superfields. Equations (4.4) are solved by

$$V = V_0 - \frac{i\alpha}{2}\,\bar\theta^k D_k W + \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\bar\theta\right)^2\dot W\,, \qquad \bar D_k V_0 = 0\,, \tag{4.5}$$

implying the following transformation properties of the superfields involved:

$$\delta V_0 = \frac{i\alpha}{2}\,\bar\epsilon^k D_k W - \alpha\left(\bar\epsilon^k\bar\theta_k\right)\dot W\,, \qquad \delta W = \delta V = 0\,. \tag{4.6}$$

In the limit $\alpha = 0$, the constraints (4.4) are reduced to those defining two ordinary $(\mathbf{2},\mathbf{4},\mathbf{2})$ chiral multiplets. First, the constraints (4.4) can be generalized by adding some $SU(2)$ breaking term $\sim c_{(k}{}^{l)} D_l W$ to its right-hand side. One can choose the $SU(2)$ frame so that $c_{(k}{}^{l)} = \beta(\tau_3)_k^l$. Presumably, this will give rise to the two-parameter deformed multiplet also considered in [13].[13]

Both sets of the constraints, (4.1) and (4.4), can be generalized to the $SU(2|1)$ case. Such a generalization of (4.4) is possible only for the second type of the $SU(2|1)$ chirality [10], when the spinor derivatives are inert under the induced $U(1)$ transformations.

It would be also tempting to reveal $d > 1$ analogs of the long multiplets considered in the present paper.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support from the RFBR grant No. 15-02-06670 and a grant of the Heisenberg-Landau program. We are grateful to Sergey Fedoruk and Francesco Toppan for useful discussions.

References

[1] E. Witten, *Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry*, Nucl. Phys. **B188** (1981) 513; *Constraints on Supersymmetry Breaking*, Nucl. Phys. **B202** (1982) 253.

[2] S. Fedoruk, E. Ivanov, O. Lechtenfeld, *Superconformal Mechanics*, J. Phys. **A45** (2012) 173001, `arXiv:1112.1947 [hep-th]`.

[3] E. Ivanov, S. Krivonos, O. Lechtenfeld, *$\mathcal{N} = 4$, $d = 1$ supermultiplets from nonlinear realizations of $D(2,1;\alpha)$*, Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) 1031, `arXiv:hep-th/0310299`.

[4] F. Delduc, E. Ivanov, *Gauging N=4 Supersymmetric Mechanics*, Nucl. Phys. **B753** (2006) 211, `arXiv:hep-th/0605211`.

---

[13] A different type of indecomposable $\mathcal{N} = 4, d = 1$ multiplets is provided by the classification scheme of ref. [18].

[5] S. James Gates Jr., L. Rana, *Ultramultiplets: A New representation of rigid 2-d, N=8 supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. **B342** (1995) 132-137, `arXiv:hep-th/9410150`.

[6] A. Pashnev, F. Toppan, *On the classification of N extended supersymmetric quantum mechanical systems*, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 5257-5271, `arXiv:hep-th/0010135`.

[7] A.V. Smilga, *Weak supersymmetry*, Phys. Lett. **B585** (2004) 173, `arXiv:hep-th/0311023`.

[8] S. Bellucci, A. Nersessian, *(Super)Oscillator on CP(N) and Constant Magnetic Field*, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 065013, `arXiv:hep-th/0211070`; S. Bellucci, A. Nersessian, *Supersymmetric Kähler oscillator in a constant magnetic field*, `arXiv:hep-th/0401232`.

[9] E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, *Deformed Supersymmetric Mechanics*, Class. Quant. Grav. **31** (2014) 075013, `arXiv:1307.7690 [hep-th]`.

[10] E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, *Super Kähler oscillator from* $SU(2|1)$ *superspace*, J. Phys. **A47** (2014) 292002, `arXiv:1312.6821 [hep-th]`.

[11] E. Ivanov, S. Sidorov, $SU(2|1)$ *mechanics and harmonic superspace*, Class. Quant. Grav. **33** (2016) 5, 055001, `arXiv:1507.00987 [hep-th]`.

[12] B. Assel, D. Cassani, L. Di Pietro, Z. Komargodski, J. Lorenzen, D. Martelli, *The Casimir Energy in Curved Space and its Supersymmetric Counterpart*, JHEP **07** (2015) 043, `arXiv:1503.05537 [hep-th]`.

[13] T. Hubsch, G.A. Katona, *A Q-Continuum of Off-Shell Supermultiplets*, `arXiv:1310.3256 [hep-th]`.

[14] G. Festuccia, N. Seiberg, *Rigid Supersymmetric Theories in Curved Superspace*, JHEP **1106** (2011) 114, `arXiv:1105.0689 [hep-th]`.

[15] E.A. Ivanov, A.V. Smilga, *Dirac Operator on Complex Manifolds and Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A27** (2012) 1230024, `arXiv:1012.2069 [hep-th]`.

[16] S. James Gates Jr., *Superspace Formulation of New Nonlinear Sigma Models*, Nucl. Phys. **B238** (1984) 349.

[17] O. Lechtenfeld, F. Toppan, *An* $\mathcal{N}=8$ *superconformal particle in the half-plane*, `arXiv:1105.4067 [hep-th]`.

[18] M. Gonzales, K. Iga, S. Khodaee, F. Toppan, *Pure and entangled* $\mathcal{N}=4$ *linear supermultiplets and their one-dimensional sigma-models*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012) 103513, `arXiv:1204.5506 [hep-th]`.